



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/898,707 | 07/03/2001 | Thomas Zickell | NEI-010XX | 2439 |
| 7590 03/07/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Bourque & Associates, P.A. | | | AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER | |
| Suite 303 | | | | |
| 835 Hanover Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Manchester, NH 03104 | | | 1772 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/898,707 | ZICKELL, THOMAS | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Walter B. Aughenbaugh | 1772 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on 16 December 2005 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

| requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order item(s) is required. | for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following |
|--|--|
| THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAU 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do n B. New paragraph(s) should no C. Other | |
| 2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separateB. Other | sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. |
| "Annotated Sheet" as required B. The practice of submitting pr | ly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or ed by 37 CFR 1.121(d). oposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings rithout markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. |
| C. Each claim has not been proof each claim cannot be idernumber by using one of the (Previously presented), (New | t include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) wided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status ntified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (V), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). Int paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. |
| 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsi | gned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): |
| For further explanation of the amendment form | at required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714. |
| TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO TH | IIS NOTICE: |
| | ne non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment o resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the submitted. |
| correction, if the non-compliant amendmen (including a submission for a request for commendation amendment filed within a suspension period |) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the it is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ontinued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental od under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the with 37 CFR 1.121. |
| Extensions of time are available unde amendment or an amendment filed in re | 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final esponse to a <i>Quayle</i> action. |
| filed in response to a Quayle action; | ne non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment |
| Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if app | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | Part of Paper No. 03012006 |

Nath Bluff 03/02/06

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Claims 32,33,42 and 43 should be identified as "(Withdrawn-currently amended"). Claims 34-41 and 44 should be identified as ("Withdrawn"). Based on the claims as amended in the non-compliant Amendment filed December 16, 2005, restriction at least between the Group of claims 32-43 and the Group of claims 21-31 and between the Group of claims 32-43 and the Group of claim 44 is appropriate because claim 32 does not require the particulars of claim 21 at least because claim 32 does not require the release backing required by claim 21 and, in regard to claims 32 and 44, for the reasons provided in paragraph 3 of the Office Action mailed November 16, 2005. Claims 21-31 and 44 are classified in class 428, subclass 40.1, and claims 32-43 are classified in class 52, subclass 90.1.

HAROLD PYON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
(1)2 3/2/06